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Abstract: Ongoing political, economic, social and technological changes all over the world require higher demands on skills of top managers, they must be more flexible and more adaptable than ever before. Can Design Thinking lead to better solutions to such problems, especially from a managerial perspective? This article presents results from an exploratory case study, where the managers from 7 universities participated in soft skills training using the design thinking methods. The study proved that managers who used a design thinking approach seemed to be more competent to understand creativity, teamwork and interdisciplinarity at finding new solutions. The aim of our contribution is to introduce our knowledge and experience with creativity and other soft skills development opportunities in education of top management in the international environment. The present study is part of a larger research project on the application of design thinking as an approach to finding creative solutions to problems.
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INTRODUCTION

World Economic Forum (2019) defined 10 top demanded soft skills for our recent and future workforce: complex problem solving, critical thinking, creativity, people management, coordinating with others, emotional intelligence, judgment and decision-making, service orientation, negotiation and cognitive flexibility. The importance of this challenge is the fact, that this topic is discussed at several other international institutions, such as European Commission and OECD.

The OECD co-operates with member countries to develop policy responses that are tailored to each country’s specific skills needs. The outcome of this approach is the OECD Skills Strategy Framework, which points to what countries can do better to develop relevant skills over the life course; to use skills effectively in work and in society; and to strengthen the governance of the skills system (OECD, 2020).

The process of modernization of education systems is based on quality of university management and staff – top managers, that create policy, corporate culture and working
conditions for all others, curriculums and study programs, administration staff, which is responsible for smooth realization of all processes, and teachers, responsible for teaching process. They face a lot of challenges, such as international competition, lack of sources, uncertain environment, etc. Development of their soft skills will lead to their more effective work, to higher level of corporate culture, better working atmosphere, more satisfied employees and to better results.

Many business and innovation managers and academics have been calling attention to the need for changes in business school curricula and learning methods, prompted by the continual social and economic transformation (Martin, 2009; Dunne, 2009; Glen et al., 2014).

1 LITERATURE REVIEW

The European Commission support the development of higher education policies in EU countries in line with the Education and Training 2020 strategy (ET2020) and works closely with policy makers. The renewed EU agenda for higher education, adopted by the Commission in May 2017, identifies four key goals for European cooperation in higher education:

- tackling future skills mismatches and promoting excellence in skills development;
- building inclusive and connected higher education systems;
- ensuring higher education institutions contribute to innovation;
- supporting effective and efficient higher education systems. (European Commission, 2020)

With the aim to help achieve these goals, the Commission proposed specific actions at EU-level, primarily supported by different strands of the Erasmus+ and Horizon 2020 programs. In particular, the European Commission supports:

- the exchange of good policy practices between different countries through the ET2020 higher education working group;
- the Bologna Process - designed to promote the internationalization of higher education in Europe. Through more mobility, easier recognition of qualifications and streamlined quality assurance mechanisms;
- the development and use of mobility and recognition tools, such as the ECTS system and the Diploma Supplement, to increase transparency and facility exchanges in Europe (European Commission, 2020).

The European Commission in the area of education has taken a number of further initiatives, e.g. the concept of Networks of European Universities, that brings a significant change to higher education practices through integrated curricula and mobility, Council recommendation on automatic mutual recognition of higher education and school leaving diplomas, that helps to support students mobility and the future European Student Card, that will facilitate the secure exchange of student information and reduce administrative burden for higher education institutions. These initiatives will help to foster quality, excellence, and innovation in educational systems, that will prepare new generation of young people better prepared for the needs of new challenges, that will occur. Excellent and innovative educational systems consist of institutions, educational facilities, and networks, where all of them need excellent and innovative management staff, that also need to have necessary hard and soft skills, that can be further developed.

There are a number of tools and methods that support creative thinking and soft skills for example "problem-based learning, design thinking, project based learning". Design thinking is defined as “a creative problem-solving process that focuses on understanding the needs of others, rapid testing and iterating, and bringing out your inner creative genius”. (Tran, 2019) In this process is crucial to support users in their development of creativity, that is “the ability
to make or otherwise bring into existence something new, whether a new solution to a problem, a new method or device, or a new artistic object or form”. (Kerr, 2019). Design thinking has emerged as a powerful new problem-solving approach and it’s used in public and private sectors for solving tomorrow’s problems.

**Creativity** is an essential skill based on expected engineering competency, as well as on the impact of engineering on society and on the environment (Paul, R., Hugo, R.J. and Falls, L.C., 2016). A creative person is able to produce a wide range of ideas, processes or products that are novel, original, unexpected, imaginative or useful, as well as recognizing limitations and constraints. (Pusca and Northwood, 2018) Creativity plays a significant role in problem-solving, where students can muster their experiences in the context of social cognitive theory, and therefore create a strong sense of efficacy (Dinther, Dochy, Segers, 2011).

Creativity is related to imagination or original ideas to create something through various thinking techniques. The reason why people are motivated to be creative is there is a need for novel, varied and complex stimulation. The second reason is there is a need to communicate ideas and values and the third reason is there is a need to solve the problem. (Khairul, 2020)

More specifically, creativity was flagged as a competency in students who demonstrated indicators like originality, open-mindedness, flexibility and divergent thinking. That design thinking students came up with more creative solutions echoes findings from numerous studies, which have highlighted the positive effects of design thinking on creativity (Cassim, 2013; Renard, 2014).

**Design** is a course of action for the development of an artifact or a system of artifacts. It is a process of realization of the idea to form or product that have artistic added value, strength, function, solving user problems and applying technology for the products or the production process (Khairul, 2020).

**Design Thinking**
The combination of the terms "thinking" and "design", offers fields such as Innovation Management the opportunity to apply design tools to other problem-solving-contexts like for example to businesses, services, and processes. Today, Design Thinking is understood as a way of thinking which leads to transformation, evolution, and innovation, to new forms of living and to new ways of managing business (Tschimmel, 2012).

Design Thinking relies on the designer’s capacity to consider at the same time 1. human needs and new visions of living well, 2. available material and technical resources, and 3. the constrains and opportunities of a project or business. The integration of these three factors demands from the designer, the ability to be at the same time analytical and empathic, rational and emotional, methodical and intuitive, oriented by plans and constraints, but spontaneous (Pombo, Tschimmel, 2005). Some design researchers call this kind of dualistic reasoning designers’ use ‘abductive thinking’ to differentiate it from the rational deductive and inductive reasoning (Martin, 2009; Cross, 2011) Design Thinking can also be considered as a manifestation of collective intelligence, whereby important consideration is given to the human being, to his or her behaviour and needs, and wherein creativity among participating problem solvers frequently challenges previously suggested solutions (Pruneau, El Jai, Dionne, Louis, Potvin, 2019).

According to Brown and Sheer (2009, 2013) **Design Thinking process** has the six steps:

1. Observation-inspiration: an ethnographic survey is conducted, while demonstrating empathy for the people affected by the issue (the users), as well as for the problem they are experiencing.
2. Definition-synthesis: the problem is defined and redefined through an iterative process. The goal is to learn information and gain insight into various perspectives surrounding the issue. The information is briefly summarized.

3. Ideation: many ideas are proposed and some of them are retained, while others are discarded.

4. Prototyping: prototypes are very quickly built to emphasize the different ideas that have been generated.

5. Tests and communication: prototypes are evaluated by collecting opinions from users as well as experts on the problem at hand.

**Design Thinking Tools**

Design, as a multidisciplinary field, took its methods and tools from several knowledge fields, such as from the engineering, arts, economy, etc. But most of the visually related tools, such as sketching, mapping, prototyping, etc., stretch right back to the beginning of design education. These tools are enabling the designer to inquire about a future situation or solution to a problem and also serve to transform abstract immature and unrealized ideas into something to build on and to discuss with colleagues and other stakeholders (Tschimmel, 2012).

Design Thinking researchers agreed that certain assumptions define the Design Thinking approach such as iteration, ambiguity, visualization, collaboration, empathy, and satisficing—the abductive, non-linear nature of the process does not suggest a simple process model (Cross, 2006, Buchanan, 1992). Research demonstrated that Design Thinking involves creativity of thought and a willingness to accept uncertainty, Design Thinking is most often conducted in an extremely systematic way (Cross, 2001, 2006; Lawson, 2006).

Design thinking relies on the human ability to be intuitive, to recognize patterns, and to construct ideas that are emotionally meaningful as well as functional. The elements of design thinking combine to form an iterative approach — one you can try out and adapt to suit your needs. The design-thinking process brings team members together, focuses them on a shared and ambiguous goal.

**Tab. 1 How could Managers think like Designers?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of Design Thinking Manager</th>
<th>Characteristics of the traditional thinking manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mainly visual, use of sketching and prototyping tools</td>
<td>Mainly verbal, use of diagrams and tables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive observation and wondering, challenging stereotypical</td>
<td>Immediate perception and quick interpretation of a situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional and rational at the same time, subjective</td>
<td>Mainly rational and objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abductive and inventive</td>
<td>Analytical, deductive and inductive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure is a part of the process</td>
<td>Looking for 'correct' answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable with ambiguity and uncertainty</td>
<td>Lead by organizing and planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathic and human-driven, deep understanding of peoples’ needs and dreams</td>
<td>Customer-driven, deep understanding about what clients would like to have for their social status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principally collaborative</td>
<td>Principally individual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: In form Tschimmel, 2012, p. 20
2 METHODOLOGY

Our aim is to introduce our knowledge and experience with soft skills development opportunities in education of top management in international environment, based on our participation in international project DT.UNI.-Design Thinking Approach for an Interdisciplinary University (DT.UNI.), where we participated at several workshops and courses on implementation of Design Thinking tools, and we have organized several of them. The main aim of the project is development of innovation in higher education institutions and is co-financed via Erasmus+ Program.

Management staff is the key element of every university. Thanks to the project they have developed their skills, such as the ability to think in a divergent, creative, innovative, and interdisciplinary way, which is a core point of the project, become more open to changes at university, inter-cultural competences.

They took part in locally organized and international workshops, one of them took place in Birmingham in winter of 2018/2019.

In the next section we will bring you the evaluation of DT Uni Workshop for University Managers which took place in Birmingham in November 2018. For the measuring impact we have used Pre-test and Post-test surveys. There were 24 participants at the workshop, 20 of them fulfilled both Pre-test and Post-test.

Our respondents were between 30 – 62 years old, 60 % of them were women. Our participants were top managers and administrative staff at universities in several European countries – Poland, Germany, Netherland, United Kingdom, Portugal, Iceland, Italy, and Slovakia.

70 % of our respondents were in management positions, 20 % were in administration and 10 % were university teachers, associated professors or assistants of professors. Their former experience with Design Thinking varied from no experience to DT experts, 60% of them had some or no experience. They declared their current challenges that they face at their universities, they were similar – material equipment and working conditions, new challenges and strategies, new work models, they want to attract more students for their study programs, they have to work also with less skilled and unmotivated students, etc.

They expected that participation in the workshop will help them in everyday teamwork with different personalities, in exploiting the full potential of all team members and managing different tasks. They would like to learn useful tools to boost their creativity. They would like to know when and which Design Thinking tool to use and how to implement them in the process of teaching students. They also wanted to know the experiences of other people about implementing Design Thinking in their work.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results from our survey show, that workshops are effective way, how to develop soft skills of managers in international environment. Our survey was oriented to results of Pre-test and Post-test of workshop participants in Birmingham. These tests were in electronical forms, links to them were sent to each participant via e-mail, Pre-test was sent before workshop and link to Post-test was sent to them during last day of workshop. We have got 20 completed Pre-tests and Post-tests from 24 participants of the workshop, i.e. 83,33 %. This result we consider satisfactory.

Figures 1 -3 show respondents’ views on selected claims related to their soft skill. We show some of them, that we consider most interesting. They indicated their perceptions on a scale
of 1 to 5, where 1 means that they don’t currently do this very much and 5 means that this applies a lot/always to how they currently work.

The Figure 1 shows, that dealing with complex problems and uncovering their different aspects may be also funny and interesting. We can see the change in the mindset of participants, which have more positive approach after the workshop, where several Design Thinking (DT) practices and tools were used with the aim to solve challenges by teams of participants in a funny and entertaining way. Managers need to deal with several complex problems in their work and creation of positive working atmosphere helps to uncover more ideas and lead to better results, including better working environment and satisfaction of employees.

**Fig. 1 Respondents’ views on claim “I have fun dealing with complex problems and uncovering their different aspects” (Number of responses)**

![Figure 1](image)

Source: DT Uni Survey in Birmingham, November 2018

We can see from this figure, that while in Pre-test only 1 participant gave 5 points to this statement, in Post-test there were 9, i.e. 45 % of them. No-one neither in Pre-test, nor in Post-test gave only one point to this statement, from which we may conclude, that managers, researchers and teachers, naturally have some fun dealing with complex problems and uncovering their different aspects. All two participants, who gave only 2 points in Pre-test had changed their opinion after workshop, and found it more interesting, so nobody gave less than 3 points in the Post-test. 85 % of participants after workshop gave 4 and 5 points, this result we consider as very positive.

The figure 2 shows the change in empathy of participants. Empathy is important part of communication skills and emotional intelligence.

**Results from figure 2 show, that working in teams with people from other institutions and countries was more difficult, than expected.** There were language and cultural differences, and it was necessary to find solutions to their given challenges. Only 3 participants perceived him/herself as perfect in this skill, 2 of them (10 %) put their evaluation by one level down in Post-test, from 3 to 2 points, 4 of them (25 %) increased their self-confidence from 3 points to 4.
Our experience is that we have not always guessed the right feelings of the other team members, which led to some misunderstandings, and in future we would like to be more careful. Design Thinking tools focused to train empathy helped participants to think more about what other people think and feel. Very interesting was activity "Explain to the Stranger". We were taken to the Museum of Art in Birmingham, as teams we had to choose a picture in the gallery, and we had to explain our solution of our challenge to the person on the picture. We had to brainstorm, what were feelings and fears of that person and we had to explain this person how our challenge may impact this person, and tell our solution in the way, that this person can understand and agree with it, that meant also to use language of the time period on picture.

The figure 3 shows positive changes of mindsets of participants related to enhance creativity soft skills. Creativity is perceived as very important soft skill and it is demanded to support it at workplace. There are several publications (Kerr, 2019, Khan, 2015, Koh et al., 2012, Laurillard, 2015, Filo, 2017, Tran, 2019), how to improve and develop it. During workshop we have used some of Design thinking tools, e.g. Brainstorming, Brainwriting, Persona, Letter to Grandma, etc.

Results in figure 3 show, that no one perceived him/herself as not good at spontaneously channelling their creativity to develop new ideas. The amount of less confident respondents in this area, who gave only 2 points, decreased from 4 (25 %) to 2 (12,5 %). On the other side, number of respondents who perceived themselves as perfect and very good at this skill (they gave 4 and 5 points) increased from 5 (20 %) to 13 (65 %), it more than tripled. We consider this result as perfect.

Our other research results showed, that after workshop participants feel less uncomfortable using concepts where they learn by doing (- 2%) and they have less trouble appreciating other perspectives (- 12 %). They more rely on a certain methodological set of strategies (+31 %), they increased channelling of their creativity to develop new ideas by 19 %. They more enjoy exchanging and incorporating ideas and concepts from other team members (+ 12%).
The aim of use DT tools is also to improve soft skills of participants, e.g. patience, empathy, self-confidence and adaptability. Top improvement was obtained in use of certain strategies when being confronted with failure (+23 %) and in their enjoying developing a variety of ideas for one problem and having no trouble discarding them (+21 %). Design Thinking is focused to train people to be not afraid of bringing new ideas to the other team members, and to be not afraid to discard those ideas, that fail. People are afraid of failure and it blocks them in creativity. To achieve this, it is very important to create safe and positive atmosphere, where people share their ideas and build new ideas on ideas of the other team members. No idea is bad, criticism is forbidden, ideas are written on sticky notes and later they are selected by given criteria. Focus is on quantity of ideas, in given time limit, because it is known, that brain creates more ideas when it is under some pressure.

After workshop 75 % of participants plan to encourage others to use DT processes in their work and only 5 % declared, that they do not plan it. We have got also feedback, how to organize future workshops better. International environment of the workshop was perceived as very positive. Attendees could share their own experiences and their ideas of solving their challenges at their universities. They also improved their language skills in conversation and in teamwork. They have learned some useful tools to boost their creativity. They experienced several Design Thinking tools, so they can implement them in their managerial work.

Education of managerial skills via workshop we consider as good start for future self-development of managers. Mentoring may become the second step in the process of implementation of DT tools into managerial and teaching process. Some topics may be explained also via webinars and other online tools, that are effective and not complicated for use.

**CONCLUSION**

Design has always been a catalyst for innovation processes in product and service development. But over the last decade and more, emerged the concept Design Thinking by demonstrating that any kind of organisation can benefit from the designers’ way of thinking.
and working, including management, business and educational institutions. After a stretching of the Design Thinking concept (Tschimmel, 2012; Johansson-Sköldberg, Woodilla & Çetinkaya, 2013).

We have found that Design Thinking tools are useful also for managers, they enhance creativity, soft skills and they may lead to positive working atmosphere, and to better results. Participants plan to use DT approaches in their teaching process, in strategic processes, in teamwork, in managerial work, in the improvement of internal processes, in the process of seeking solutions to challenges, in designing new projects and in creation of new curricula. 75 % of them confirmed, that their specific lexicon had significantly increased. 95 % of them agreed, that they practiced formulating questions in English. All participants practiced working in interdisciplinary / intercultural contexts.

Thinking like a designer helps managers to become aware of issues in a way that isn’t very natural to other disciplines, and this can be especially helpful when users are involved. Design Thinking helps to understand issues and problems in a new context, and figure out how solutions will work in real-time.

The main goal of Design Thinking is to find the solution and promote innovation and creativity, but one of its big benefits is that it can help establish a strong and high-performing team.

Our own experience show, that Design thinking tools are not always applicable, and we carefully choose, when and where we will use them. Short workshops and trainings together with mentoring seem to be effective way, how to teach managers, how to develop their soft skills.
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